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## UF Health Strategic Plan 2015-2020 The Power of Together

At the heart of everything we do at UF Health is our commitment to improve the lives of our patients and serve our communities as we move medicine forward.


Our missions of patient care, research, education and community service support each other and create a virtuous expanding circle.

## Introduction

UF Health Shands Hospital has a long and proud history of providing healthcare services, education and research to promote the health and wellness of the residents of Florida. Since 2005, the efforts of UF Health Shands Hospital to serve the community in five categories (unsponsored charity care, health professionals education, community and regional health services, donations and in kind services and scientific and clinical research) have been documented, tracked, quantified at cost and reported in the footnotes of the audited financial statements. These audited financial statements are posted annually on the UFHealth.org public website. In the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015 UF Health Shands Hospital provided an estimated $\$ 111.2$ million in Community Benefits.

In 2013 UF Health Shands Hospital formally adopted a Community Health Needs Assessment (CHNA) and Community Health Improvement Plan (CHIP), in accordance with requirements of the Affordable Care Act. For that original CHNA the service area and community served was defined as Alachua County. Since the initial assessment and CHIP adoption, UF Health Shands Hospital has been an active participant in CHIP implementation within the community and has joined with many community partners to improve the two areas of focus identified in the original CHNA and CHIP: Access to comprehensive primary care and preventive services and the promotion of wellness among residents. Annual reports of UF Health Shands Hospital's progress towards the initial outlined CHIP goals have been produced and posted annually on the hospital's public website at https://ufhealth.org/social-mission-community.

UF Health Shands Hospital recently completed the 2016 Community Health Needs Assessment, the required update to the previous CHNA. The process of conducting a CHNA involves several key steps, including: define the service area and community served, collect and analyze data, gather and consider community input about health needs and determine significant health needs. The subsequent CHIP process prioritizes the identified needs from the CHNA, considers available community resources and adopts implementation goals and activities.

For the 2016 CHNA, UF Health Shands Hospital adopted a broader definition of community. The focus counties have been expanded from the initial county of Alachua to include six additional northern Florida counties. The current expanded CHNA includes: Alachua, Bradford, Columbia, Levy, Marion, Putnam and Suwannee counties. Together, these counties comprise approximately $65 \%$ of the inpatients and $80 \%$ of the emergency department visits at UF Health Shands Hospital. The population of this expanded area is approximately 840,000 individuals (about $4 \%$ of the population of the state of Florida). The land area is about 6,300 square miles, which is $11 \%$ of the total land mass of the state.

The expanded CHNA area reflects a diverse geography and group of residents. The vast area includes both urban and rural areas. The population is $49 \%$ male and $51 \%$ female; $78 \%$ white, $16 \%$ black, $2 \%$ Asian, $2 \%$ other races, and 2\% multiple races; and about 9\% Hispanic or Latino.

When conducting a health assessment, it is important to understand that there are many different components that affect the health status of residents, including factors that are not traditionally perceived as impacting health
needs or status. These factors are commonly referred to as social determinants of health and include economic components such as income and employment; education (e.g., reading scores and high school graduation rates); and other environmental factors (e.g., transportation, crime, supply of healthcare providers; and air and water quality). In the defined CHNA area, there are disproportionate numbers of individuals and families living in poverty compared to the overall rates for residents of Florida and the United States. This poverty status affects people of all ages, but children are especially impacted: within the seven-county CHNA area, three of the counties (Levy, Putnam and Suwannee) have $40 \%$ of children living at or below $100 \%$ of the federal poverty level. Nearly $15 \%$ of the adults have not graduated from high school. In addition, $23 \%$ of the population receive Medicaid benefits and $16 \%$ receive Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) benefits (formerly known as the Food Stamp Program). Within the rural portions of the counties, access to transportation is a significant issue. When these factors are combined, they represent a social burden that can adversely impact residents' health status. The current community health needs assessment included review and analysis of data from a wide variety of sources to identify areas of high socio-economic need within the region.

Data resources utilized for the CHNA include: 2016 Alachua County Community Health Assessment, 2015 Marion County Health Assessment, U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), U.S. Census Bureau, Robert Wood Johnson Foundation/University of Wisconsin Population Health Institute County Health Rankings \& Roadmaps program, Xerox Healthy Communities Institute (HCI), Community Commons, UF Health Shands Hospital internal data, Florida Department of Health's Florida Charts data, UF HealthStreet program, UF Putting Families First program, UF Health Dental School, United Way of North Central Florida, and United Way of Marion County. In addition, key focused interviews were conducted across the region with a variety of stakeholders including providers, Florida Department of Health officials, and citizens.

The analysis yielded a range of insights related to the health of area residents. Composite index scores such as those offered by the County Health Rankings \& Roadmaps program and the UF Health Community Dashboard powered by HCI point to a number of underlying health concerns that cross multiple domains - for both chronic and acute conditions - as well as health behaviors, lifestyle choices, and socio-economic and environmental conditions. Many health needs and significant healthcare gaps exist across the region.

Community input was an important part of the analysis. Both the recently conducted Alachua County and Marion County assessments include health survey responses from several thousand area residents, providers and business leaders. Internal resources from UF HealthStreet and UF Putting Families First programs also provided insight, as did focused interviews with key individuals in several counties. The areas of concern identified varied within and between communities, but there were several common concerns and overlapping themes including management of chronic conditions that impact wellness and health factors such as obesity, tobacco use and substance abuse. In addition, concerns about access to primary care, specialty care, dental care and mental health were noted.

Upon consideration of the information collected and analyzed for the 2016 CHNA, senior administration at UF Health Shands Hospital recommended to its Board of Directors that the hospital continue with the areas of focus from the previous CHIP: that residents will be able to access primary care and preventive services and for the
promotion of wellness across the expanded CHNA region. The UF Health Shands Hospital Board accepted and formally adopted both the 2016 CHNA and CHIP at their June 22, 2016 meeting.

## Service Area and Community Served

In 2015, UF Health Shands Hospital senior Administration came together to discuss the required update of the CHNA for 2016. During those internal discussions, it was agreed that the definition of service area and community served should expand from Alachua County to encompass seven counties which would better represent patient volumes at UF Health Shands Hospital. As a result, the following counties were added to the definition of Community for the 2016 UF Health Shands Hospital Community Health Needs Assessment: Bradford, Columbia, Levy, Marion, Putnam and Suwannee. Residents from these counties comprise $65 \%$ of the total inpatient volume at UF Health Shands Hospital and account for approximately $80 \%$ of the Emergency Department visits.


## Geography and Population

A total of 838,396 people, approximately $4 \%$ of the overall state of Florida population, live in the defined seven-county service area. Geographically, the service area comprises 6,089 square miles, or $11 \%$ of the total land mass of the state of Florida. The geography encompasses a mix of urban and rural areas. Population density for this area, estimated at 137.69 persons per square mile, is much lower than the average of 361.02 persons per square mile for Florida as a whole.

## Total Geography and Population

| Geography | Total Population | Total Land Area <br> (Square Miles) | Population Density <br> (Per Square Mile) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7-County Area | $\mathbf{8 3 8 , 3 9 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 , 0 8 9 . 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 7 . 6 9}$ |
| Alachua | 251,759 | 875.05 | 287.71 |
| Bradford | 27,552 | 293.96 | 93.73 |
| Columbia | 67,662 | 797.54 | 84.84 |
| Levy | 40,057 | $1,118.22$ | 35.82 |
| Marion | 334,771 | $1,588.03$ | 210.81 |
| Putnam | 73,191 | 727.88 | 100.55 |
| Suwannee | 43,404 | 688.55 | 63.04 |
| Florida | $\mathbf{1 9 , 3 6 1 , 7 9 2}$ | $\mathbf{5 3 , 6 3 0 . 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 1 . 0 2}$ |
| United States | $\mathbf{3 1 4 , 1 0 7 , 0 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 5 3 1 , 9 3 2 . 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 8 . 9 3}$ |

Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. 2010-14. Source geography: Tract

## Population by Gender

The total population mix by gender is similar to the state of Florida and the United States overall. Bradford County stands out with males being more prevalent than females. This is due to the presence of several state correctional facilities (prisons) in that county.

## Total Population by Gender

| Geography | Male | Female | Percent <br> Male | Percent <br> Female |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7-County Area | $\mathbf{4 1 0 , 7 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 7 , 6 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 \%}$ |
| Alachua | 121,740 | 130,019 | $48 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| Bradford | 15,369 | 12,183 | $56 \%$ | $44 \%$ |
| Columbia | 34,883 | 32,779 | $52 \%$ | $48 \%$ |
| Levy | 19,818 | 20,239 | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| Marion | 160,708 | 174,063 | $48 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
| Putnam | 36,168 | 37,023 | $49 \%$ | $51 \%$ |
| Suwannee | 22,040 | 21,364 | $51 \%$ | $49 \%$ |
| Florida | $\mathbf{9 , 4 6 4 , 6 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 8 9 7 , 1 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 \%}$ |
| United States | $\mathbf{1 5 4 , 5 1 5 , 1 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 9 , 5 9 1 , 9 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 \%}$ |

Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. 2010-14. Source geography: Tract

## Population by Age Group

The total population by age group for the region varies significantly across counties. The two largest counties in the service area, Alachua and Marion, have significant variations in age demographics. The Alachua County population, which includes the University of Florida, trends to a younger demographic ( $37.8 \%$ of population ages $18-34$ ) than the state of Florida ( $21.6 \%$ ages $18-34$ ) or the US ( $23.5 \%$ ages $18-34$ ). Marion County, which includes the City of Ocala and several large retirement communities, has a significantly higher proportion of $65+$ residents ( $26.8 \%$ ages 65 or older) than the overall defined CHNA service region ( $19.8 \%$ ages $65+$ ), the state of Florida ( $18.2 \%$ ages $65+$ ) or the United States ( $13.8 \%$ ages $65+$ ).

## Total Population by Age Group

| Geography | Age 0-4 | Age 5-17 | Age 18-24 | Age 25-34 | Age 35-44 | Age 45-54 | Age 55-64 | Age 65 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7-County Area | 45,314 | 117,748 | 103,581 | 100,449 | 88,679 | 106,281 | 110,575 | 165,769 |
| Alachua | 13,816 | 31,404 | 57,626 | 37,539 | 25,986 | 28,011 | 28,303 | 29,074 |
| Bradford | 1,541 | 3,905 | 2,306 | 4,096 | 3,544 | 4,066 | 3,503 | 4,591 |
| Columbia | 4,064 | 10,816 | 6,553 | 8,655 | 7,685 | 9,592 | 9,169 | 11,128 |
| Levy | 2,077 | 6,145 | 2,953 | 4,014 | 4,314 | 5,793 | 6,319 | 8,442 |
| Marion | 16,850 | 46,791 | 24,335 | 33,220 | 34,457 | 42,911 | 46,420 | 89,787 |
| Putnam | 4,359 | 11,822 | 5,825 | 7,689 | 7,859 | 10,094 | 10,875 | 14,668 |
| Suwannee | 2,607 | 6,865 | 3,983 | 5,236 | 4,834 | 5,814 | 5,986 | 8,079 |
| Florida | $\mathbf{1 , 0 7 6 , 8 3 6}$ | 2,944,141 | 1,779,219 | 2,408,242 | 2,419,436 | 2,746,426 | 2,468,932 | 3,518,560 |
| United States | 19,973,712 | 53,803,944 | 31,273,296 | 42,310,184 | 40,723,040 | 44,248,184 | 38,596,760 | 43,177,960 |



## Total Population by Age Group, Percent Distribution

| Geography | Age 0-4 | Age 5-17 | Age 18-24 | Age 25-34 | Age 35-44 | Age 45-54 | Age 55-64 | Age <br> 65+ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7-County Area | $\mathbf{5 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 8 \%}$ |
| Alachua | $5.5 \%$ | $12.5 \%$ | $22.9 \%$ | $14.9 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ | $11.2 \%$ | $11.6 \%$ |
| Bradford | $5.6 \%$ | $14.2 \%$ | $8.4 \%$ | $14.9 \%$ | $12.9 \%$ | $14.8 \%$ | $12.7 \%$ | $16.7 \%$ |
| Columbia | $6.0 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ | $9.7 \%$ | $12.8 \%$ | $11.4 \%$ | $14.2 \%$ | $13.6 \%$ | $16.5 \%$ |
| Levy | $5.2 \%$ | $15.3 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ | $10.8 \%$ | $14.5 \%$ | $15.8 \%$ | $21.1 \%$ |
| Marion | $5.0 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ | $9.9 \%$ | $10.3 \%$ | $12.8 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ | $26.8 \%$ |
| Putnam | $6.0 \%$ | $16.2 \%$ | $8.0 \%$ | $10.5 \%$ | $10.7 \%$ | $13.8 \%$ | $14.9 \%$ | $20.0 \%$ |
| Suwannee | $6.0 \%$ | $15.8 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ | $12.1 \%$ | $11.1 \%$ | $13.4 \%$ | $13.8 \%$ | $18.6 \%$ |
| Florida | $\mathbf{5 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 2 \%}$ |
| United States | $\mathbf{6 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 . 8 \%}$ |

Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. 2010-14. Source geography: Tract

## Population by Race

The population in the defined service region is similar in composition to the overall race demographics of the state of Florida and slightly less diverse than the United States overall. The predominant race in the region is white, with $77.6 \%$ of the residents being white, vs $76.2 \%$ of the overall residents of the state of Florida and $73.8 \%$ of the U.S. overall. The black race comprises $15.7 \%$ of the region's population versus $16.1 \%$ for the state of Florida and $12.6 \%$ of the United States. Alachua County has $5.5 \%$ of the residents ( 13,951 people) reported as Asian. Within the CHNA region Alachua is the county with the largest proportion of Asians. The proportions of other races represented within the region are similar to the state of Florida demographics, but less diverse than the United States overall.

## Total Population by Race

| Geography | White | Black | Asian | Native American / Alaska Native | Native <br> Hawaiian / <br> Pacific Islander | Some Other Race | Multiple Races |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7-County <br> Area | 650,395 | 131,959 | 19,951 | 2,806 | 416 | 11,854 | 21,015 |
| Alachua | 175,713 | 50,882 | 13,951 | 717 | 194 | 2,511 | 7,791 |
| Bradford | 21,368 | 5,449 | 118 | 36 | 4 | 35 | 542 |
| Columbia | 52,253 | 12,052 | 424 | 230 | 25 | 838 | 1,840 |
| Levy | 35,022 | 3,685 | 157 | 169 | 1 | 157 | 866 |
| Marion | 273,311 | 42,256 | 4,705 | 1,274 | 104 | 5,584 | 7,537 |
| Putnam | 56,857 | 11,855 | 473 | 246 | 88 | 2,038 | 1,634 |
| Suwannee | 35,871 | 5,780 | 123 | 134 | 0 | 691 | 805 |
| Florida | 14,747,196 | 3,114,841 | 490,833 | 59,121 | 12,128 | 484,274 | 453,399 |
| United States | 231,849,712 | 39,564,784 | 15,710,659 | 2,565,520 | 535,761 | 14,754,895 | 9,125,751 |



## Total Population by Race, Percent Distribution

| Geography | White | Black | Asian | Native <br> American / <br> Alaska <br> Native | Native <br> Hawaiian / <br> Pacific <br> Islander | Some <br> Other Race | Multiple <br> Races |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7-County Area | $\mathbf{7 7 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 5 \%}$ |
| Alachua | $69.8 \%$ | $20.2 \%$ | $5.5 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $0.08 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $3.1 \%$ |
| Bradford | $77.6 \%$ | $19.8 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $0.01 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ |
| Columbia | $77.2 \%$ | $17.8 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $0.04 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ |
| Levy | $87.4 \%$ | $9.2 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ |
| Marion | $81.6 \%$ | $12.6 \%$ | $1.4 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.03 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ |
| Putnam | $77.7 \%$ | $16.2 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $0.1 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ |
| Suwannee | $82.6 \%$ | $13.3 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $1.9 \%$ |
| Florida | $\mathbf{7 6 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 3 \%}$ |
| United States | $\mathbf{7 3 . 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 9 \%}$ |

Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. 2010-14. Source geography: Tract

## Population by Ethnicity

Compared to the state of Florida or the United States, the seven-county region has a significantly lower proportion of Hispanic residents. The service area reflects $9.4 \%$ overall Hispanic or Latino population versus $23.3 \%$ overall for the state of Florida and $16.9 \%$ for the United States. Marion County has the largest Hispanic population in the region with $11.5 \%$ of the overall population being Hispanic or Latino.

## Total Population by Ethnicity

| Geography | Total <br> Population | Hispanic or Latino <br> Population | Percent Population <br> Hispanic or Latino | Non-Hispanic <br> Population | Percent <br> Population <br> Non- |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hispanic |  |  |  |  |  |$|$| 7-County Area |
| :--- |
| A38,396 |

Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. 2010-14. Source geography: Tract


## Population Change

Florida continues to grow in population, recently surpassing New York as the third largest state in the nation in terms of population. According to the United States Census Bureau Decennial Census, between 2000 and 2010 the population in the report area grew by 132,214 persons, a change of $18.91 \%$. According to these data, the defined region grew slightly faster than state of Florida overall and significantly faster than the United States. A significant positive or negative shift in total population over time impacts healthcare providers and the utilization of community resources.

Change in Total Population, 2000-2010

| Geography | Total Population, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ Census | Total Population, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ Census | Total Population <br> Change, 2000-2010 | Percent Population <br> Change, 2000-2010 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7-County Area | $\mathbf{6 9 9 , 1 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 1 , 4 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 2 , 2 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 9 \%}$ |
| Alachua | 217,953 | 247,336 | 29,383 | $13.5 \%$ |
| Bradford | 26,088 | 28,520 | 2,432 | $9.3 \%$ |
| Columbia | 56,513 | 67,531 | 11,018 | $19.5 \%$ |
| Levy | 34,450 | 40,801 | 6,351 | $18.4 \%$ |
| Marion | 258,916 | 331,298 | 72,382 | $28.0 \%$ |
| Putnam | 70,423 | 74,364 | 3,941 | $5.6 \%$ |
| Suwannee | 34,844 | 41,551 | 6,707 | $19.3 \%$ |
| Florida | $\mathbf{1 5 , 9 8 2 , 3 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 , 8 0 1 , 3 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 8 1 8 , 9 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 6 \%}$ |
| United States | $\mathbf{2 8 0 , 4 0 5 , 7 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 7 , 7 4 5 , 5 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 , 3 3 9 , 7 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{9 . 8 \%}$ |

Source: US Census Bureau, Decennial Census. 2000-2010. Source geography: Tract

## Data Analysis

An extensive analysis of health and socio-economic data of residents of the defined CHNA seven-county region has been performed. Data included health metrics as well as additional information from a wide variety of sources. Data sources included the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, U.S. Census Bureau, Healthy Communities Institute, Robert Wood Johnson Foundation/University of Wisconsin Population Health Institute County Health Rankings \& Roadmaps program, Community Commons multi-county report, 2013 and 2016 Alachua County Health Needs Assessments, 2015 Marion County Health Needs Assessment, United Way of North Central Florida, United Way of Marion County, UF Health Shands Hospital internal data, UF HealthStreet Community Needs Assessment, UF Health Putting Families First 2015 surveillance survey results and UF Health internal data.

Due to the variety, breadth and quantity of the data resources reviewed and analyzed for the 2016 UF Health Shands Hospital CHNA, a list of data sources as well as a significant portion of the data considered are included in a technical appendix. The technical appendix has been assembled and posted for interested users of the needs assessment information. The CHNA document itself includes key data and concept review.

The County Health Rankings \& Roadmaps program, a collaboration between the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation and the University of Wisconsin Population Health Institute, uses the graphic below to illustrate the model of population health and weighting of data components reflected in their county health rankings methodology:


The measures evaluated can be organized into two broad categories: health outcomes and health factors. Health outcomes include events such as premature death and babies born with low birthweight. Health factors include behaviors such as smoking and physical inactivity; clinical care factors such as the supply of providers in a community and rates of preventable hospital stays; social and economic factors such as education attainment and percentage of children who live in poverty; and elements of the physical environment such as air pollution and long commutes.

As shown in the table below, the seven counties that make up the 2016 CHNA region reflect a broad range of scores when compared with all of Florida's 67 counties, from Alachua County's rank of $1^{\text {st }}$ (best) in the state for clinical care measures to Putnam County's rank of $67^{\text {th }}$ (worst) for social \& economic factors. However, the predominant trend for these seven counties is that of "below average" (i.e., a rank of $34^{\text {th }}-67^{\text {th }}$ ), and most of the counties rank in the bottom quartile on several measures each. For example, five of the seven counties rank in the bottom quartile for length of life (Bradford, Columbia, Levy, Putnam, and Suwannee), and four rank in the bottom quartile for social and economic factors (Columbia, Levy, Putnam, and Suwannee).

2016 County Health Rankings

| County Health <br> Rankings \& Roadmaps <br> Building a Culture of Health, County by County |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Alachua | Bradford | Columbia | Levy | Marion | Putnam | Suwannee |
| Health Outcomes | 25 | 61 | 54 | 57 | 49 | 65 | 56 |
| Length of Life | 13 | 59 | 57 | 61 | 47 | 64 | 53 |
| Quality of Life | 40 | 57 | 48 | 39 | 51 | 62 | 53 |
| Health Factors | 10 | 45 | 50 | 51 | 34 | 66 | 54 |
| Health Behaviors | 33 | 52 | 49 | 44 | 26 | 56 | 48 |
| Clinical Care | 1 | 42 | 35 | 50 | 23 | 54 | 58 |
| Social \& Economic Factors | 13 | 37 | 50 | 53 | 47 | 67 | 52 |
| Physical Environment | 12 | 31 | 60 | 34 | 39 | 49 | 13 |

Source: Robert Wood Johnson Foundation and University of Wisconsin Population Health Institute, County Health Rankings \& Roadmaps program. Data accessed June 2016 via http://www.countyhealthrankings.org

There is much discussion and debate about the wide variety of social determinants that impact health status and health outcomes. Factors include demographics (age, sex, race, ethnicity); social and economic variables (poverty, education, employment); physical environment (crime, water, air); clinical care (access, insurance status, quality, chronic conditions); and health behaviors (nutrition, fitness, lifestyle). There is a growing recognition that residents' health status is impacted by many factors outside of traditional healthcare. The federal Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (ACA), which mandated that not-for-profit health systems conduct Community Health Needs Assessments every three years, is in part a national policy effort to recognize
that health is about more than traditional healthcare. The graphic below developed by Kaiser Permanente illustrates one view of how various factors may impact health:

## Health is About More Than Clinical Care

Health is driven by multiple factors that are intricately linked of which medical care is one component.


## Social and Economic Factors

With the tremendous amount of raw data available to analyze, it is often difficult to discern areas of focus and meaning. As part of the CHNA data analyses and the recognition that there is power in the access, dissemination and use of timely data to impact community health outcomes, UF Health Shands Hospital has made available on the public UFHealth.org website a community dashboard resource. The dashboard can be found at UFHealth.org under the Community tab at:

> https://ufhealth.org/community-health

The embedded dashboard resource is powered by Healthy Communities Institute (HCI), a subsidiary of Xerox Community Health Solutions. The dashboard allows community members, researchers and others to access a variety of health and health-related data metrics by county and zip code. The data are presented in a user friendly format and allow drill-down capability by the user.

In looking at the various sources of data, one of the overarching trends across the region is poverty status. While there are pockets of higher income population, primarily in Alachua County, the majority of the region reflects a disproportionately lower socio-economic trend. Coupled with relatively low educational status across
much of the region, this reflects a significant challenge for the community as a whole that unfavorably impacts health status.

One of the tools within the UF Health dashboard resource is a proprietary socio-economic rating system that includes a mathematical model which layers economic (poverty, employment, income inequality), education and health indicators and scores communities across the country on a scale of zero to 100 . The graphic below illustrates how the HCI SocioNeeds scoring metric is calculated and assigned.

## How it Works



## Why is the SocioNeeds Index important?

Community health improvement efforts must determine what sub-populations are most in need in order to most effectively focus services and interventions. Social and economic factors are well known to be strong determinants of health outcomes - those with a low socio-economic status are more likely to suffer from chronic conditions such as diabetes, obesity, and cancer. The SocioNeeds Index summarizes multiple socioeconomic indicators into one composite score for easier identification of high need areas by zip code or county.

To use the SocioNeeds Index within a community, the zip codes or counties with the highest Index Values are estimated to have the highest socio-economic need. The Index Value for each location is compared to all other similar locations (i.e. counties compare to other counties and zip codes to other zip codes) within the comparison area to assign a relative rank (1-5). Zip codes are ranked using natural breaks classification, which groups the zip codes into clusters based on similar index values. A rank of 5 reflects the highest relative need; a 1 reflects the lowest level of need.

The SocioNeeds Index tool is calculated for a community from several social and economic factors (ranging from poverty to education) that may impact health or access to care. The index is correlated with potentially preventable hospitalization rates, and is calculated using Nielsen Claritas population estimates for 2016.

The SocioNeeds Index table for the CHNA region is included below. Within the CHNA region the ranking by zip codes reflects a disproportionate range of scores ranging from 15.1 in one Alachua County zip code with 23,188 residents to 98.3 in one Putnam zip code with an estimated 1,595 residents. Alachua County has the widest variation of scores across its zip codes (a low of 15.1 to a high of 95), while Suwannee County has the narrowest band of scores (a low of 83.9 to a high of 93.9).

SocioNeeds Index Table for the Zip Codes in the 7-County CHNA Region

| Zip Code | Index | Rank | Population estimate | County(ies) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32641 | 95 | 5 | 13,862 | Alachua |
| 32603 | 86.5 | 5 | 7,457 | Alachua |
| 32601 | 85.4 | 4 | 20,582 | Alachua |
| 32609 | 83.4 | 4 | 18,874 | Alachua |
| 32631 | 82.6 | 4 | 421 | Alachua |
| 32694 | 71.6 | 3 | 2,294 | Alachua |
| 32607 | 68.3 | 3 | 32,172 | Alachua |
| 32643 | 59.3 | 3 | 11,179 | Alachua |
| 32608 | 48.3 | 2 | 47,924 | Alachua |
| 32618 | 41.4 | 2 | 7,451 | Alachua |
| 32615 | 37 | 1 | 16,586 | Alachua |
| 32669 | 35.4 | 1 | 13,453 | Alachua |
| 32653 | 27.2 | 1 | 13,647 | Alachua |
| 32606 | 21.6 | 1 | 24,480 | Alachua |
| 32605 | 15.2 | 1 | 23,188 | Alachua |
| 32667 | 54.3 | 2 | 4,264 | Alachua, Marion |
| 32640 | 81.1 | 4 | 10,191 | Alachua, Putnam |
| 32058 | 88 | 5 | 5,011 | Bradford |
| 32091 | 85.7 | 4 | 16,204 | Bradford |
| 32044 | 82.3 | 4 | 1,850 | Bradford |
| 32622 | 69.1 | 3 | 1,527 | Bradford |
| 32055 | 89.5 | 5 | 16,756 | Columbia |
| 32061 | 80.2 | 4 | 385 | Columbia |
| 32025 | 79.9 | 4 | 22,614 | Columbia |
| 32038 | 79.2 | 4 | 9,339 | Columbia |
| 32024 | 65.1 | 3 | 19,091 | Columbia |
| 32621 | 90.6 | 5 | 5,346 | Levy |
| 32626 | 90 | 5 | 8,079 | Levy |
| 34449 | 89 | 5 | 3,136 | Levy |
| 34498 | 87.3 | 5 | 485 | Levy |
| 32696 | 82.9 | 4 | 11,768 | Levy |
| 32625 | 80.3 | 4 | 1,787 | Levy |
| 32668 | 74.7 | 4 | 5,350 | Levy |
| 34475 | 97.3 | 5 | 12,305 | Marion |
| 34431 | 91.8 | 5 | 7,914 | Marion |
| 32113 | 89.9 | 5 | 6,322 | Marion |
| 32134 | 89.9 | 5 | 8,092 | Marion |


| Zip Code | Index | Rank | Population estimate | County(ies) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34488 | 88.6 | 5 | 9,906 | Marion |
| 32179 | 88.2 | 5 | 8,383 | Marion |
| 34470 | 84.7 | 4 | 17,986 | Marion |
| 34432 | 84.1 | 4 | 12,933 | Marion |
| 34472 | 83.8 | 4 | 28,965 | Marion |
| 32617 | 83 | 4 | 3,826 | Marion |
| 34482 | 79.7 | 4 | 23,387 | Marion |
| 32686 | 79.6 | 4 | 5,265 | Marion |
| 34473 | 78.5 | 4 | 17,728 | Marion |
| 34420 | 76.7 | 4 | 15,969 | Marion |
| 34479 | 65 | 3 | 13,037 | Marion |
| 34481 | 61 | 3 | 19,737 | Marion |
| 34474 | 60 | 3 | 17,479 | Marion |
| 34471 | 56.5 | 2 | 24,834 | Marion |
| 34476 | 53.1 | 2 | 23,099 | Marion |
| 34491 | 52.9 | 2 | 30,361 | Marion |
| 34480 | 49.2 | 2 | 19,158 | Marion |
| 32195 | 46.7 | 2 | 3,292 | Marion |
| 32139 | 98.3 | 5 | 1,595 | Putnam |
| 32112 | 96.3 | 5 | 7,172 | Putnam |
| 32181 | 90.3 | 5 | 2,578 | Putnam |
| 32148 | 89.9 | 5 | 12,236 | Putnam |
| 32187 | 89.2 | 5 | 1,594 | Putnam |
| 32177 | 88.2 | 5 | 25,493 | Putnam |
| 32189 | 84.9 | 4 | 5,604 | Putnam |
| 32140 | 77.9 | 4 | 1,839 | Putnam |
| 32666 | 77.3 | 4 | 5,877 | Putnam |
| 32193 | 68.8 | 3 | 1,189 | Putnam |
| 32131 | 66 | 3 | 4,397 | Putnam |
| 32064 | 93.9 | 5 | 8,977 | Suwannee |
| 32062 | 90.2 | 5 | 2,745 | Suwannee |
| 32008 | 89.9 | 5 | 5,475 | Suwannee |
| 32071 | 89.9 | 5 | 3,825 | Suwannee |
| 32094 | 87.2 | 5 | 3,194 | Suwannee |
| 32060 | 83.9 | 4 | 21,735 | Suwannee |

Accessed from UF Health Shands Community Dashboard, June 2016

Across a variety of data sources, poverty in the CHNA area is a prevalent issue, across all counties. At $31 \%$, the percentage of children living in poverty (at or below $100 \%$ of the federal poverty level) is higher in the CHNA region than the state average rate of $24 \%$ and the United States rate of $22 \%$. Bradford County has the lowest rate in the region with $22 \%$ of children living in poverty, but even so more than one in every five children is living in poverty. Levy, Putnam and Suwannee counties have $40 \%$ of children living at or below $100 \%$ of FPL, which means that in those counties, two of every five children live in poverty.

## Poverty - Children Below 100\% FPL

In the seven-county report area, $31 \%$ or 49,039 children aged $0-17$ are living in households with income below the federal poverty level (FPL). This indicator is relevant because poverty creates barriers to access including health services, healthy food, and other necessities that contribute to poor health status.

| Geography | Total <br> Population | Population <br> Under Age <br> 18 | Population <br> Under Age 18 <br> in Poverty | Percent Population <br> Under Age 18 in <br> Poverty |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7-County <br> CHNA Area | $\mathbf{8 0 2 , 5 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 9 , 4 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 , 0 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 \%}$ | Percent Population Under Age <br> 18 in Poverty |
| Alachua | 236,834 | 44,337 | 11,423 | $26 \%$ |  |
| Bradford | 23,833 | 5,331 | 1,158 | $22 \%$ |  |
| Columbia | 63,145 | 14,590 | 4,442 | $30 \%$ |  |
| Levy | 39,266 | 7,932 | 3,164 | $40 \%$ |  |
| Marion | 325,876 | 62,171 | 18,819 | $30 \%$ |  |
| Putnam | 71,707 | 15,752 | 6,342 | $40 \%$ | Report Area (30.75\%) |
| Suwannee | 41,923 | 9,338 | 3,691 | $40 \%$ | Florida (24.1\%) |
| Florida | $\mathbf{1 8 , 9 4 6 , 2 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 9 5 6 , 2 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 5 3 , 3 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 \%}$ |  |
| United States | $\mathbf{3 0 6 , 2 2 6 , 4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 , 6 3 7 , 8 8 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 , 9 0 7 , 3 9 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 \%}$ |  |
| United States (21.9\%) |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. 2010-14. Source geography: Tract


Population Below the Poverty Level, Children (Age 0-17), Percent by Tract, ACS 2010-14

```
Over 30.0%
\square22.6-30.0%
\square15.1-22.5%
\squareUnder 15.1%
\ No Population Age 0-17 Reported
\square \text { No Data or Data Suppressed}
    Report Area
```

The data for children and the overall population living beneath $200 \%$ of the federal poverty level (FPL) also reveal higher rates than benchmark rates for Florida and the United States overall.

## Poverty - Children Below 200\% FPL

In the seven-county report area, $56 \%$ or 89,716 children are living in households with income below $200 \%$ of the federal poverty level (FPL). This indicator is relevant because poverty creates barriers to access (including health services, healthy food, and other necessities) that contribute to poor health status.

|  | Total |  | Percent Population Under Age 18 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Geography <br> Population <br> Under Age <br> 18 | Pge 18 Below 200\% <br> FPL | Percent Population <br> Under Age 18 Below <br> 200\% FPL |  |  |
| 7-County <br> CHNA Area | 159,451 | 89,716 | $56 \%$ | 0 |
| Florida | $3,956,251$ | $1,946,761$ | $49 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| United States | $72,637,888$ | $32,116,426$ | $44 \%$ | Report Area (56.27\%) |

Overall rates of poverty for residents in the designated CHNA area are better than the rates for children. However, poverty rates indicate $44 \%$ of residents in the CHNA region living below $200 \%$ of FPL. Those poverty incidence rates are worse than the state of Florida rate of $38 \%$ and the United States rate of $35 \%$.

## Poverty - Population Below 200\% FPL

In the report area $44.44 \%$ or 356,682 individuals are living in households with income below $200 \%$ of the federal poverty level (FPL). This indicator is relevant because poverty creates barriers to access (including health services, healthy food, and other necessities) that contribute to poor health status.

| Geography | Total <br> Population | Population with <br> Income Below 200\% <br> FPL | Percent Population <br> with Income Below <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 \%}$ FPL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7-County <br> CHNA Area | 802,584 | 356,682 | $44 \%$ |
| Florida | $18,946,216$ | $7,211,686$ | $38 \%$ |
| United States | $306,226,400$ | $105,773,408$ | $35 \%$ |
| Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. 2010-14. Source geography: Tract |  |  |  |

Percent Population with Income Below 200\% FPL


Population Below 200\% Poverty Level, Percent by Tract, ACS 2010-14

Over 50.0\%
38.1-50.0\%
26.1-38.0\%
$\square$ Under 26.1\%No Data or Data Suppressed
Report Area

## Insurance - Population Receiving Medicaid

This indicator reports the percentage of the population with insurance enrolled in Medicaid (or other meanstested public health insurance). This indicator is relevant because it assesses vulnerable populations which are more likely to have multiple healthcare access, health status, and social support needs; when combined with poverty data, providers can use this measure to identify gaps in eligibility and enrollment.

| Geography | Total <br> Population (For Whom Insurance Status is Determined) | Population with Any Health Insurance | Population Receiving Medicaid | Percent of Insured Population Receiving Medicaid | Percent of Insured Population Receiving Medicaid |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7-County <br> CHNA Area | 817,008 | 673,345 | 155,768 | 23\% |  |
| Florida | 19,049,448 | 15,318,865 | 3,222,600 | 21\% | Report Area (23.13\%) <br> Florida (21.04\%) |
| United States | 309,082,272 | 265,204,128 | 55,035,660 | 21\% | United States (20.75\%) |

Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. 2010-14. Source geography: Tract


Insured, Medicaid / Means-Tested Coverage, Percent by Tract,
ACS 2010-14

```
Over 25.0%
    20.1-25.0%
    15.1-20.0%
    Under 15.1%
    No Data or Data Suppressed
    Report Area
```


## Population Receiving SNAP Benefits (ACS)

This indicator reports the estimated percentage of households receiving the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) benefits. This indicator is relevant because it assesses vulnerable populations which are more likely to have multiple healthcare access, health status, and social support needs; when combined with poverty data, providers can use this measure to identify gaps in eligibility and enrolment.

| Geography | Total <br> Households | Households <br> Receiving SNAP <br> Benefits | Percent Households <br> Receiving SNAP <br> Benefits | Percent Households Receiving <br> SNAP Benefits |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7-County <br> CHNA Area | 320,875 | 52,112 | $16.2 \%$ |  |
| Florida | $7,217,508$ | $1,032,766$ | $14.3 \%$ | 0 |
| United States | $116,211,088$ | $15,089,358$ | $13.0 \%$ |  |
| Source: US Census Bureau, American Community Survey. 2010-14. Source geography: Tract |  | Report Area (16.24\%) |  |  |



Households Receiving SNAP Benefits, Percent by Tract, ACS 2010-14

## Population Receiving SNAP Benefits (SAIPE)

This indicator reports the average percentage of the population receiving the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) benefits between the months of July 2012 and July 2013. This indicator is relevant because it assesses vulnerable populations which are more likely to have multiple healthcare access, health status, and social support needs; when combined with poverty data, providers can use this measure to identify gaps in eligibility and enrollment.

| Geography | Total <br> Population | Population Receiving SNAP Benefits | Percent Population Receiving SNAP Benefits | Percent Population Receiving SNAP Benefits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7-County CHNA Area | 805,939 | 167,577 | 20.8\% |  |
| Florida | 19,111,871 | 3,515,731 | 18.4\% | 0 25\% |
| United States | 295,309,825 | 46,732,618 | 15.8\% |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |



Population Receiving SNAP Benefits, Percent by County, SAIPE 2013

## Population with No High School Diploma

Within the seven-county report area there are 84,032 persons aged 25 and older without a high school diploma (or equivalency) or higher. This represents $14.7 \%$ of the total population aged 25 and older. This indicator is relevant because educational attainment is linked to positive health outcomes (Freudenberg and Ruglis, 2007, http://www.cdc.gov/pcd/issues/2007/oct/07_0063.htm)

| Geography | Population <br> Age 25 | Population Age 25 <br> with No High School <br> Diploma | Percent Population Age <br> 25 with No High School <br> Diploma |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7-County <br> CHNA Area | 571,753 | 84,032 | $14.7 \%$ |
| Florida | $13,561,596$ | $1,837,056$ | $13.6 \%$ |
| United States | $209,056,128$ | $28,587,748$ | $13.7 \%$ |

Percent Population Age 25 with No High School Diploma


Population with No High School Diploma (Age 25 ), Percent by Tract, ACS 2010-14
16.1-21.0\%
11.1-16.0\%Under 11.1\%
$\square$ No Data or Data Suppressed
$\square$ Report Area

High school graduation rates range from a high of $78 \%$ in Levy County, which is above the state average of $75 \%$, to a low of $58 \%$ in Putnam County.

## Educational Attainment

| County Health <br> Rankings $\&$ <br> Building a Roadmaps |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Florida | Alachua | Bradford | Columbia | Levy | Marion | Putnam | Suwannee |
| High school <br> graduation | $75 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $77 \%$ | $58 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
| Some college | $61 \%$ | $75 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $37 \%$ |

Source: Robert Wood Johnson Foundation and University of Wisconsin Population Health Institute, County Health Rankings \& Roadmaps program. Data accessed June 2016 via http://www.countyhealthrankings.org

## Health Outcomes

## Length of Life

While everyone will die, rates of mortality are tracked as an outcome and included in many quality metrics in healthcare. The County Health Rankings \& Roadmaps program calculates and reports a measure of premature death reflecting years of potential life lost. The rate tracks the number of deaths of residents under 75 years of age and computes the years of potential life lost before age 75 per 100,000 population for each county. This measure of premature death reflects a number of factors and causes related to mortality. In the 2016 rankings, Alachua County ranked $13^{\text {th }}$ in the state for length of life metrics with a calculated 6,600 years of potential life lost per 100,000 residents, while Putnam County ranked $64^{\text {th }}$ out of Florida's 67 counties, with 10,500 years of potential life lost per 100,000 residents.

## Length of Life Rankings and Premature Death Rates

| County Health <br> Rankings \& Roadmaps <br> Building a Culture of Health, County by County | Florida | Alachua | Bradford | Columbia | Levy | Marion | Putnam | Suwannee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Length of <br> Life |  | 13 | 59 | 57 | 61 | 47 | 64 | 53 |
| Premature <br> Death | 6,800 | 6,600 | 9,600 | 9,300 | 9,900 | 8,700 | 10,500 | 9,000 |

Premature Death figures reflect years of potential life lost before age 75 per 100,000 population (age-adjusted).
Source: Robert Wood Johnson Foundation and University of Wisconsin Population Health Institute, County Health Rankings \& Roadmaps program. Data accessed June 2016 via http://www.countyhealthrankings.org

## Mortality - Premature Death

This indicator reports Years of Potential Life Lost (YPLL) before age 75 per 100,000 population for all causes of death, age-adjusted to the 2000 standard. YPLL measures premature death and is calculated by subtracting the age of death from the 75 year benchmark. This indicator is relevant because a measure of premature death can provide a unique and comprehensive look at overall health status.

| Geography | Total <br> Population, 2008-2010 Average | Total <br> Premature <br> Deaths, 2008-2010 <br> Average | Total Years of Potential Life Lost, 2008-2010 Average | Years of Potential Life Lost, Rate per 100,000 Population | Years of Potential Life Lost, <br> Rate per 100,000 <br> Population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7-County CHNA Area | 833,803 | 3,979 | 73,379 | 8,800 | 5000 10000 |
| Florida | 19,057,542 | 71,874 | 1,404,580 | 7,370 | Report Area (8,800) |
| United States | 311,616,188 | 1,074,667 | 21,327,690 | 6,851 | United States (6,851) |
| Source: University of Wisconsin Population Health Institute, County Health Rankings. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Vital Statistics System. Accessed via CDC WONDER. 2008-10. Source geography: County |  |  |  |  |  |

Within the category of premature death, mortality statistics which are unfavorable compared to benchmarks are indicators of areas where healthcare improvements can be made to impact the composite score and decrease years of potential life lost. Data on several of those areas of concern are presented on the following pages.

## Infant Mortality

This indicator reports the rate of deaths to infants less than one year of age per 1,000 births. This indicator is relevant because high rates of infant mortality indicate the existence of broader issues pertaining to access to care and maternal and child health.

| Geography | Total Births | Total Infant Deaths | Infant Mortality Rate (Per 1,000 Births) | 1,000 Births) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7-County CHNA Area | 49,010 | 444 | 9.1 |  |
| Florida | 1,133,160 | 7,932 | 7.0 | $0 \quad 10$ |
| United States | 20,913,535 | 136,369 | 6.5 |  |
| HP 2020 Target |  |  | $<=6.0$ | Report Area (9.1) <br> Florida (7.0) |
| Source: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Vital Statistics System. Accessed $\quad$ United States (6.5) via CDC WONDER. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Wide-Ranging Online Data for Epidemiologic Research. 2006-10. Source geography: County |  |  |  |  |



Infant Mortality, Rate (Per 1,000 Live Births) by County, AHRF 2006-10

## Mortality - Cancer

This indicator reports the rate of death due to malignant neoplasm (cancer) per 100,000 population. Figures are reported as crude rates, and as rates age-adjusted to year 2000 standard. Rates are re-summarized for report areas from county level data, only where data are available. This indicator is relevant because cancer is a leading cause of death in the United States.

| Geography | Total <br> Population | Average <br> Annual <br> Deaths, <br> 2007-2011 | Crude <br> Death Rate <br> (Per <br> $\mathbf{1 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ <br> Pop.) | Age-Adjusted <br> Death Rate <br> (Per 100,000 <br> Pop.) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7-County <br> CHNA Area | 835,097 | 2,243 | 268.6 | 192.3 |
| Florida | $19,076,385$ | 41,801 | 219.1 | 161.5 |
| United States | $311,430,373$ | 577,313 | 185.4 | 168.9 |
| HP 2020 Target |  |  |  | $<=160.6$ |



Source: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Vital Statistics System. Accessed via CDC WONDER. 2009-13. Source geography: County


Cancer Mortality, Age Adj. Rate (Per 100,000 Pop.) by County, NVSS 2009-13

Over 200.0
180.1-200.0
160.1-180.0Under 160.1
Data Suppressed (<20 Deaths) $\square$

Report Area

## Mortality - Heart Disease

Within the report area the rate of death due to coronary heart disease per 100,000 population is 173.47 . Figures are reported as crude rates, and as rates age-adjusted to year 2000 standard. Rates are re-summarized for report areas from county level data, only where data are available. This indicator is relevant because heart disease is a leading cause of death in the United States.
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|c|c|c|c|}\hline & & & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Heart Disease Mortality, Age- } \\ \text { Adjusted Death Rate }\end{array} \\ \text { (Per 100,000 Pop.) }\end{array}\right]$

Source: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Vital Statistics System. Accessed via CDC WONDER. 2009-13. Source geography: County


Heart Disease Mortality, Age Adj.
Rate (Per 100,000 Pop.) by County, NVSS 2009-13


## Mortality - Ischemic Heart Disease

Within the report area the rate of death due to coronary heart disease per 100,000 population is 119.2 . This rate is greater than the Healthy People 2020 target of less than or equal to 103.4. Figures are reported as crude rates, and as rates age-adjusted to year 2000 standard. Rates are re-summarized for report areas from county level data, only where data are available. This indicator is relevant because heart disease is a leading cause of death in the United States.

| Geography | Total <br> Population | Average <br> Annual <br> Deaths, <br> 2007-2011 | Crude <br> Death Rate <br> (Per <br> 100,000 <br> Pop.) | Age-Adjusted <br> Death Rate <br> (Per 100,000 <br> Pop.) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7-County | 835,097 | 1,440 | 172.4 | 119.2 |
| CHNA Area | $19,076,385$ | 28,298 | 148.3 | 105.2 |
| Florida | $311,430,373$ | 376,572 | 120.9 | 109.5 |
| United States |  |  |  | $<=103.4$ |
| HP 2020 Target |  |  |  |  |

Coronary Heart Disease Mortality, Age-Adjusted Death Rate (Per 100,000 Pop.)


Report Area (119.2) Florida (105.2)
United States (109.5)

Source: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Vital Statistics System. Accessed via CDC WONDER. 2009-13. Source geography: County


Ischemic Heart Disease Mortality, Age Adj. Rate (Per 100,000 Pop.) by County, NVSS 2009-13

## Mortality - Lung Disease

This indicator reports the rate of death due to chronic lower respiratory disease per 100,000 population. Figures are reported as crude rates, and as rates age-adjusted to year 2000 standard. Rates are re-summarized for report areas from county level data, only where data are available. This indicator is relevant because lung disease is a leading cause of death in the United States.

| Geography | Total <br> Population | Average <br> Annual <br> Deaths, <br> 2007-2011 | Crude <br> Death Rate <br> (Per <br> 00,000 <br> Pop.) | Age-Adjusted <br> Death Rate <br> (Per 100,000 <br> Pop.) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7-County <br> CHNA Area | 835,097 | 618 | 74.05 | 50.99 |
| Florida | $19,076,385$ | 10,552 | 55.32 | 39.4 |
| United States | $311,430,373$ | 142,214 | 45.66 | 42.2 |
| 年 |  |  |  |  |



Source: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Vital Statistics System. Accessed via CDC WONDER. 2009-13. Source geography: County


Lung Disease Mortality, Age Adj. Rate (Per 100,000 Pop.) by County, NVSS 2009-13

## Mortality - Motor Vehicle Accident

This indicator reports the rate of death due to motor vehicle crashes per 100,000 population, which include collisions with another motor vehicle, a non-motorist, a fixed object, and a non-fixed object, an overturn, and any other non-collision. This indicator is relevant because motor vehicle crash deaths are preventable and they are a cause of premature death.

|  |  |  |  |  | Motor Vehicle Crash Death, Age- <br> Adjusted Death Rate <br> (Per 100,000 Pop.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Geography | Total <br> Population | Average Annual Deaths, 2007-2011 | Crude Death Rate (Per 100,000 Pop.) | Age-Adjusted Death Rate (Per 100,000 Рор.) |  |
| 7-County CHNA Area | 835,097 | 154 | 18.4 | 19 | 050 |
| Florida | 19,076,385 | 2,436 | 12.8 | 12.3 | Report Area (19) |
| United States | 311,430,373 | 34,139 | 11 | 10.8 | Florida (12.3) |
| Source: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, National Vital Statistics System. United States (10.8)Accessed via CDC WONDER. 2009-13. Source geography: County |  |  |  |  |  |



Motor Vehicle Accident Mortality, Age Adj. Rate (Per 100,000 Pop.) by County, NVSS 2009-13

## $\underline{\text { Additional Health Factors }}$

The County Health Rankings \& Roadmaps program, Community Commons, CDC and Healthy Communities Institute provide data rankings against benchmarks which provide insight into residents' health factors and health behaviors. These indicators help assess residents' Quality of Life. These data include categories of health behaviors that can broadly be referred to as nutrition, fitness and lifestyle (healthy eating, physical activity, lifestyle - tobacco, alcohol, obesity) as well as clinical indicators such as access to care - including insurance status, rates of health conditions (obesity, hypertension), availability of providers (primary, mental and dental) and clinical indicators such as avoidable hospital admissions and emergency department visits for chronic and acute conditions (diabetes, asthma, heart failure, etc.). This wide variety of data provides insight into specific areas of need within a community.

## Access to Care - Uninsured

As a result of the ACA more Americans have health insurance than previously. However there are still large numbers of uninsured or underinsured residents in the state of Florida and within the defined CHNA region. The County Health Rankings \& Roadmaps program reports a significant number of Floridians with no health insurance.

Percentage of Population < $\mathbf{6 5}$ Years of Age without Health Insurance, 2013

| County Health <br> Rankings <br> \& Roadmaps <br> Building a Culture of Health, county by County |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Florida | Alachua | Bradford | Columbia | Levy | Marion | Putnam | Suwannee |
| \% | $24 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $24 \%$ |

Source: Robert Wood Johnson Foundation and University of Wisconsin Population Health Institute, County Health Rankings \& Roadmaps program. Data accessed June 2016 via http://www.countyhealthrankings.org

## Population Living in a Health Professional Shortage Area

This indicator reports the percentage of the population that is living in a geographic area designated as a "Health Professional Shortage Area" (HPSA), defined as having a shortage of primary medical care, dental or mental health professionals. This indicator is relevant because a shortage of health professionals contributes to access and health status issues.

| Geography | Total Area <br> Population | Population Living <br> in a HPSA | Percentage of <br> Population Living in a <br> HPSA |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7-County CHNA <br> Area | 831,401 | 584,065 | $70.3 \%$ |
| Florida | $18,801,310$ | $10,284,868$ | $54.7 \%$ |
| United States | $308,745,538$ | $102,289,607$ | $33.1 \%$ |

Source: US Department of Health Human Services, Health Resources and Services Administration, Health Resources and Services Administration. April 2016. Source geography: HPSA


## Ratios of Population to Selected Provider Types

| County Health <br> Rankings \& Roadmaps <br> Building a Culture of Health, County by County |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Florida | Alachua | Bradford | Columbia | Levy | Marion | Putnam | Suwannee |
| Dentists | 1,820:1 | 630:1 | 3,810:1 | 2,060:1 | 3,600:1 | 2,690:1 | 3,440:1 | 4,890:1 |
| Mental health providers | 690:1 | 200:1 | 4,450:1 | 700:1 | 3,300:1 | 1,120:1 | 1,850:1 | 3,670:1 |
| Primary care physicians | 1,390:1 | 670:1 | 2,690:1 | 2,110:1 | 3,960:1 | 1,760:1 | 2,500:1 | 4,860:1 |

Source: Robert Wood Johnson Foundation and University of Wisconsin Population Health Institute, County Health Rankings \& Roadmaps program. Data accessed June 2016 via http://www.countyhealthrankings.org

## Tobacco Usage - Current Smokers

In the CHNA area an estimated 130,178 , or $19.6 \%$ of adults age 18 or older self-report currently smoking cigarettes some days or every day. This indicator is relevant because tobacco use is linked to leading causes of death such as cancer and cardiovascular disease.

| Geography | Total <br> Population <br> Age 18+ | Total Adults <br> Regularly <br> Smoking <br> Cigarettes | Percent <br> Population <br> Smoking <br> Cigarettes <br> (Crude) | Percent <br> Population <br> Smoking <br> Cigarettes <br> (Age-Adjusted) | Percent Population Smoking <br> Cigarettes (Age-Adjusted) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7-County <br> CHNA Area | $\mathbf{6 6 3 , 6 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 0 , 1 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 3 \%}$ | 0 |
| Alachua | 202,240 | 29,123 | $14.4 \%$ | $13.9 \%$ |  |
| Bradford | 22,974 | 3,033 | $13.2 \%$ | $14 \%$ | Report Area (21.3\%) |
| Columbia | 52,008 | 12,430 | $23.9 \%$ | $24.1 \%$ | Florida (18.9\%) |
| Levy | 31,783 | 6,357 | $20 \%$ | $21.9 \%$ |  |
| Marion | 265,593 | 55,775 | $21 \%$ | $24.1 \%$ |  |
| Putnam | 57,458 | 15,743 | $27.4 \%$ | $30 \%$ |  |
| Suwannee | 31,629 | 7,717 | $24.4 \%$ | $28.4 \%$ |  |
| Florida | $\mathbf{1 4 , 6 8 2 , 9 5 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 , 6 4 2 , 9 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 9 \%}$ |  |
| United | $\mathbf{2 3 2 , 5 5 6 , 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 , 4 9 1 , 2 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 8 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 1 \%}$ |  |
| States |  |  |  |  |  |
| Star |  |  |  |  |  |

[^0]

Current Smokers, Adult, Percent of Adults
Age 18+ by County, BRFSS 2006-12


## Tobacco Usage - Former or Current Smokers

In the CHNA area, an estimated 408,171 adults, or $47.4 \%$, report ever smoking 100 or more cigarettes. This indicator is relevant because tobacco use is linked to leading causes of death such as cancer and cardiovascular disease.

| Geography | Survey Population (Adults Age 18 ) | Total Adults Ever Smoking 100 or More Cigarettes | Percent Adults Ever Smoking 100 or More Cigarettes | Percent Adults Ever Smoking 100 or More Cigarettes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7-County CHNA Area | 860,634 | 408,171 | 47.4\% | $0$ |
| Alachua | 256,891 | 90,637 | 35.3\% |  |
| Bradford | 45,644 | 22,842 | 50.0\% | Report Area (47.43\%) |
| Columbia | 45,629 | 32,527 | 71.3\% | Florida (46.47\%) <br> United States (44.16\%) |
| Levy | 61,628 | 36,929 | 59.9\% |  |
| Marion | 332,527 | 171,109 | 51.5\% |  |
| Putnam | 67,608 | 30,934 | 45.8\% |  |
| Suwannee | 50,707 | 23,193 | 45.7\% |  |
| Florida | 14,634,453 | 6,800,141 | 46.5\% |  |
| United States | 235,151,778 | 103,842,020 | 44.2\% |  |

Source: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System. Additional data analysis by CARES. 2011-12. Source geography: County


As shown in the preceding data and in the table below, there is much room for improvement for the health of the residents of the seven-county service area.

Rank Among Florida Counties

## County Health

Rankings \& Roadmaps
Building a Culture of Health, County by County

|  | Alachua | Bradford | Columbia | Levy | Marion | Putnam | Suwannee |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health <br> Outcomes | 25 | 61 | 54 | 57 | 49 | 65 | 56 |
| Health Factors | 10 | 45 | 50 | 51 | 34 | 66 | 54 |

Source: Robert Wood Johnson Foundation and University of Wisconsin Population Health Institute, County Health Rankings \& Roadmaps program. Data accessed June 2016 via http://www.countyhealthrankings.org

The Healthy Communities Institute (HCI) and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) also provide rankings for health factor and health behavior metrics to help communities develop a road map for areas of concern and focused improvement efforts related to residents' health statuses. Included below are health data indicator reports from HCI that summarize for each county the top 25 worst indicators tracked within the dashboard for each county. An explanation of the methodology HCI uses to develop these indicator reports follows.

Scoring Method
Data Scoring is done in three stages:


For each indicator, your county is assigned a score based on its comparison to other communities, whether health targets have been met, and the trend of the indicator value over time. These comparison scores range from $0-3$, where 0 indicates the best outcome and 3 the worst. Availability of each type of comparison varies by indicator and is dependent upon the data source, comparability with data collected for other communities, and changes in methodology over time.

## Comparison to a Distribution of County Values: Within State and Nation

For ease of interpretation and analysis, indicator data on the Community Dashboard is visually represented as a green-yellow-red gauge showing how the community is faring against a distribution of counties in the state or the United States. A distribution is created by taking all county values within the state or nation, ordering them from low to high, and dividing them into three groups (green, yellow, red) based on their order. Indicators with the poorest comparisons ("in the red") scored high, whereas indicators with good comparisons ("in the green") scored low.


## HCI Platform County Distribution Gauge

## Comparison to Values: State, National, and Targets

Your county is compared to the state value, the national value, and target values. Targets values include the nation-wide Healthy People 2020 (HP2020) goals as well as locally set goals. Healthy People 2020 goals are national objectives for improving the health of the nation set by the Department of Health and Human Services' (DHHS) Healthy People Initiative. For all value comparisons, the scoring depends on whether the county value is better or worse than the comparison value, as well as how close the county value is to the target value.


HCI Platform Compare to State or National Value


HCI Platform Compare to Healthy People 2020 Target

## Trend Over Time

The Mann-Kendall statistical test for trend was used to assess whether the county value is increasing over time or decreasing over time, and whether the trend is statistically significant. The trend comparison uses the four most recent comparable values for the county, and statistical significance is determined at the $90 \%$ confidence level. For each indicator with values available for four time periods, scoring was determined by direction of the trend and statistical significance.

## Missing Values

Indicator scores are calculated using the comparison scores, availability of which depends on the data source. If the comparison type is possible for an adequate proportion of indicators on the community dashboard, it will be included in the indicator score. After exclusion of comparison types with inadequate availability, all missing comparisons are substituted with a neutral score for the purposes of calculating the indicator's weighted average. When information is unknown due to lack of comparable data, the neutral value assumes that the missing comparison score is neither good nor

## Indicator Scoring

Indicator scores are calculated as a weighted average of all included comparison scores. If none of the included comparison types are possible for an indicator, no score is calculated and the indicator is excluded from the data scoring results.

The following indicator scoring tables ranking the top 25 key data insights for each county were downloaded using the UF Health Shands Hospital Community Dashboard resource powered by the Healthy Communities Institute 2016 Data Scoring Tool. The UF Health Shands Hospital dashboard tool is found at https://ufhealth.org/community-health.

|  |  |  |  | County: Alachua <br> University of Florida Health Shands <br> Total indicators: 177 <br> ay 23rd of March 2016 12:34:33 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Value |  | Target |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | State | US | HP2020 | Local | Trend | Score | Precision |
| 1 | People Living Below Poverty Level | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.83 | High |
| 2 | Asthma: Medicare Population | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.67 | High |
| 3 | Homeownership | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 2 | 2.61 | High |
| 4 | Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Prostate Cancer | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 3 |  | 3 | 2.50 | Medium |
| 5 | Food Insecurity Rate | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | 2.50 | Medium |
| 6 | Chlamydia Incidence Rate | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 2 | 2.36 | Medium |
| 7 | People Living 200\% Above Poverty Level | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.33 | High |
| 8 | Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Cerebrovascular Disease (St | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 3 |  | 2 | 2.28 | Medium |
| 9 | Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Colorectal Cancer | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 3 |  | 2 | 2.28 | Medium |
| 10 | Babies with Low Birth Weight | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 3 |  | 2 | 2.28 | Medium |
| 11 | Families Living Below Poverty Level | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 2 | 2.28 | High |
| 12 | Infant Mortality Rate | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 3 |  | 2 | 2.28 | Medium |
| 13 | Adults with Current Asthma | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | 2.25 | Medium |
| 14 | Food Environment Index | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | 2.25 | Medium |
| 15 | Syphilis Incidence Rate | 3 | 1.5 | 1 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.25 | Medium |
| 16 | Renters Spending 30\% or More of Household Income on Rer | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 1 | 2.22 | High |
| 17 | Children Living Below Poverty Level | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.17 | High |
| 18 | Median Household Income | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | 2.17 | High |
| 29 | Gonorrhea Incidence Rate | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 1 | 2.14 | Medium |
| 20 | Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Breast Cancer | 2 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 3 |  | 2 | 2.11 | Medium |
| 21 | Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Diabetes | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 2 | 2.11 | Medium |
| 22 | Fast Food Restaurant Density | 3 | 3 | 1.5 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 2 | 2.11 | Medium |
| 23 | Melanoma Incidence Rate | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 2 | 2.11 | Medium |
| 24 | Single-Parent Households | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 2 | 2.11 | High |
| 25 | Severe Housing Problems | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | 2.08 | Medium |


|  |  <br> Indicators Score <br> Indicator |  |  | County: Bradford <br> University of Florida Health Shands <br> Total indicators: 133 <br> esday 8th of June 2016 01:07:16 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | County Distribution |  | Value |  | Target |  | Trend | Score | Precision |
|  |  | State | US | State | US | HP2020 | Local |  |  |  |
| 1 | Food Insecurity Rate | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.83 | High |
| 2 | Workers Commuting by Public Transportation | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 1.5 | 2.67 | High |
| 3 | Asthma: Medicare Population | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 2 | 2.61 | High |
| 4 | COPD: Medicare Population | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 2 | 2.61 | High |
| 5 | Families Living Below Poverty Level | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 2 | 2.61 | High |
| 6 | Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Suicide | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 2 | 2.53 | High |
| 7 | Infant Mortality Rate | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 2 | 2.53 | High |
| 8 | Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Oral Cancer | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 3 |  | 3 | 2.50 | Medium |
| 9 | Death Rate due to Drug Poisoning | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | 2.50 | Medium |
| 10 | Rheumatoid Arthritis or Osteoarthritis: Medicare Population | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.50 | High |
| 11 | Renters Spending 30\% or More of Household Income on Rer | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 2 | 2.44 | High |
| 12 | Adults who are Obese | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 1.5 | 2.42 | Medium |
| 13 | Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Coronary Heart Disease | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 1.5 | 2.42 | High |
| 14 | High Blood Pressure Prevalence | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 1.5 | 2.42 | Medium |
| 15 | Mothers who Received Early Prenatal Care | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 1.5 | 2.42 | High |
| 16 | Tuberculosis Incidence Rate | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 1.5 | 2.42 | High |
| 17 | Diabetes: Medicare Population | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 1 | 2.39 | High |
| 18 | People 25+ with a Bachelor's Degree or Higher | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 1 | 2.39 | High |
| 19 | People 65+ Living Below Poverty Level | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 1 | 2.39 | High |
| 20 | 8th Grade Students Proficient in Math | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.33 | Medium |
| 21 | Mean Travel Time to Work | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.33 | High |
| 22 | Melanoma Incidence Rate | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.33 | Medium |
| 23 | People Living 200\% Above Poverty Level | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | 2.33 | High |
| 24 | Workers who Drive Alone to Work | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | 2.33 | High |
| 25 | Adults who are Sedentary | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 3 | 2 |  | 1.5 | 2.31 | Medium |


|  | Indicators Score <br> Indicator | County: Columbia University of Florida Health Shands Total indicators: 136 esday 8th of June 2016 12:47:23 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | County Distribution |  | Value |  | Target |  | Trend | Score | Precision |
|  |  | State | US | State | US | HP2020 | Local |  |  |  |
| 1 | Child Food Insecurity Rate | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.83 | High |
| 2 | Food Insecurity Rate | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.83 | High |
| 3 | Workers Commuting by Public Transportation | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 1.5 | 2.67 | High |
| 4 | Asthma: Medicare Population | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 2 | 2.61 | High |
| 5 | Children Living Below Poverty Level | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 2 | 2.61 | High |
| 6 | COPD: Medicare Population | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 2 | 2.61 | High |
| 7 | Depression: Medicare Population | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 2 | 2.61 | High |
| 8 | Heart Failure: Medicare Population | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 2 | 2.61 | High |
| 9 | Preterm Births | 3 | 1.5 | 2 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 2.58 | High |
| 10 | Babies with Low Birth Weight | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 2 | 2.53 | High |
| 11 | Pedestrian Death Rate | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 2 | 2.53 | High |
| 12 | Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Cancer | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 3 |  | 3 | 2.50 | Medium |
| 13 | Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Lung Cancer | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 3 |  | 3 | 2.50 | Medium |
| 14 | Chronic Kidney Disease: Medicare Population | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.50 | High |
| 15 | Death Rate due to Drug Poisoning | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | 2.50 | Medium |
| 16 | People Living Below Poverty Level | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.50 | High |
| 17 | Workers who Drive Alone to Work | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 2 | 2.44 | High |
| 18 | Adults who are Obese | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 1.5 | 2.42 | Medium |
| 19 | Adults who Smoke | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 1.5 | 2.42 | Medium |
| 20 | Mothers who Received Early Prenatal Care | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 2 | 3 |  | 2 | 2.36 | High |
| 21 | Teen Birth Rate | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 2 | 2.36 | Medium |
| 22 | Median Monthly Medicaid Enrollment | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.33 | Medium |
| 23 | Melanoma Incidence Rate | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.33 | Medium |
| 24 | Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Breast Cancer | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 3 |  | 2 | 2.28 | Medium |
| 25 | Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Oral Cancer | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 3 |  | 2 | 2.28 | Medium |


|  | Indicators Score <br> Indicator | County: Levy <br> University of Florida Health Shands <br> Total indicators: 134 <br> day 13th of June 2016 05:43:12 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | County Distribution |  | Value |  | Target |  | Trend | Score | Precision |
|  |  | State | US | State | US | HP2020 | Local |  |  |  |
| 1 | Children Living Below Poverty Level | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.83 | High |
| 2 | People 25+ with a Bachelor's Degree or Higher | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.83 | High |
| 3 | People Living 200\% Above Poverty Level | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.83 | High |
| 4 | People Living Below Poverty Level | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.83 | High |
| 5 | Child Food Insecurity Rate | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 2 | 2.61 | High |
| 6 | Families Living Below Poverty Level | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 2 | 2.61 | High |
| 7 | Median Household Income | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 2 | 2.61 | High |
| 8 | Babies with Low Birth Weight | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 2 | 2.53 | High |
| 9 | Death Rate due to Drug Poisoning | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | 2.50 | Medium |
| 10 | Mean Travel Time to Work | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | 2.50 | High |
| 11 | Workers Commuting by Public Transportation | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 2 | 2.44 | High |
| 12 | Adults who Smoke | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 1.5 | 2.42 | Medium |
| 13 | High Blood Pressure Prevalence | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 1.5 | 2.42 | Medium |
| 14 | Adults with Health Insurance | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 |  | 1 | 2.39 | High |
| 15 | 8th Grade Students Proficient in Math | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.33 | Medium |
| 16 | Households with Cash Public Assistance Income | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.33 | High |
| 17 | Oral Cavity and Pharynx Cancer Incidence Rate | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.33 | Medium |
| 18 | Per Capita Income | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | 2.33 | High |
| 19 | Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Colorectal Cancer | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 3 |  | 2 | 2.28 | Medium |
| 20 | Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Suicide | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 3 |  | 2 | 2.28 | Medium |
| 21 | Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Unintentional Injuries | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 3 |  | 2 | 2.28 | Medium |
| 22 | Cervical Cancer Incidence Rate | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 3 |  | 2 | 2.28 | Medium |
| 23 | Colorectal Cancer Incidence Rate | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 3 |  | 2 | 2.28 | Medium |
| 24 | Adults 65+ with Pneumonia Vaccination | 3 | 1.5 | 2 | 3 | 3 |  | 1.5 | 2.25 | Medium |
| 25 | Adults who are Obese | 2 | 1.5 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 1.5 | 2.25 | Medium |


|  | Indicators Score <br> Indicator | County: Marion <br> University of Florida Health Shands <br> Total indicators: 177 <br> ay 23rd of March 2016 12:45:04 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | County Distribution |  | Value |  | Target |  | Trend | Score | Precision |
|  |  | State | US | State | US | HP2020 | Local |  |  |  |
| 1 | Children Living Below Poverty Level | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.83 | High |
| 2 | Hyperlipidemia: Medicare Population | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.83 | High |
| 3 | Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Coronary Heart Disease | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 3 |  | 3 | 2.50 | Medium |
| 4 | Asthma: Medicare Population | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.50 | High |
| 5 | Chronic Kidney Disease: Medicare Population | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.50 | High |
| 6 | Median Household Income | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.50 | High |
| 7 | Per Capita Income | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.50 | High |
| 8 | COPD: Medicare Population | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 2 | 2.44 | High |
| 9 | Hypertension: Medicare Population | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 2 | 2.44 | High |
| 10 | Single-Parent Households | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 2 | 2.44 | High |
| 11 | 4th Grade Students Proficient in Reading | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.33 | Medium |
| 12 | Atrial Fibrillation: Medicare Population | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.33 | High |
| 13 | People Living 200\% Above Poverty Level | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.33 | High |
| 14 | People Living Below Poverty Level | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.33 | High |
| 15 | Workers Commuting by Public Transportation | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 1.5 | 2.33 | High |
| 16 | Adults with Health Insurance | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 |  | 2 | 2.28 | High |
| 17 | Alzheimer's Disease or Dementia: Medicare Population | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 2 | 2.28 | High |
| 18 | Infant Mortality Rate | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 3 |  | 2 | 2.28 | Medium |
| 19 | Mothers who Received Early Prenatal Care | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 3 |  | 2 | 2.28 | Medium |
| 20 | Adults who Smoke | 2 | 1.5 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 1.5 | 2.25 | Medium |
| 21 | Adults with Diabetes | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | 2.25 | Medium |
| 22 | High Blood Pressure Prevalence | 2 | 1.5 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 1.5 | 2.25 | Medium |
| 23 | High Cholesterol Prevalence | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 2 | 3 |  | 1.5 | 2.25 | Medium |
| 24 | Cancer: Medicare Population | 3 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 1 | 2.22 | High |
| 25 | Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Unintentional Injuries | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 3 |  | 1.5 | 2.17 | Medium |


|  |  <br> Indicators Score <br> Indicator |  |  | County: Putnam <br> University of Florida Health Shands <br> Total indicators: 135 <br> Wednesday 8th of June 2016 01:17:24 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | County Distribution |  | Value |  | Target |  | Trend | Score | Precision |
|  |  | State | US | State | US | HP2020 | Local |  |  |  |
| 1 | Families Living Below Poverty Level | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.83 | High |
| 2 | Food Insecurity Rate | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.83 | High |
| 3 | People Living Below Poverty Level | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.83 | High |
| 4 | Rheumatoid Arthritis or Osteoarthritis: Medicare Population | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.83 | High |
| 5 | Adults with Health Insurance | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 |  | 3 | 2.67 | High |
| 6 | Child Food Insecurity Rate | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 2 | 2.61 | High |
| 7 | Children Living Below Poverty Level | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 2 | 2.61 | High |
| 8 | Median Household Income | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 2 | 2.61 | High |
| 9 | People 65+ Living Below Poverty Level | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 2 | 2.61 | High |
| 10 | People Living 200\% Above Poverty Level | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 2 | 2.61 | High |
| 11 | Single-Parent Households | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 2 | 2.61 | High |
| 12 | Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Coronary Heart Disease | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 2 | 2.53 | High |
| 13 | Babies with Low Birth Weight | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 2 | 2.53 | High |
| 14 | Preterm Births | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 2 | 2.53 | High |
| 15 | Tuberculosis Incidence Rate | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 2 | 2.53 | High |
| 16 | People 25+ with a Bachelor's Degree or Higher | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 2 | 2.44 | High |
| 17 | Per Capita Income | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 2 | 2.44 | High |
| 18 | Adults who are Obese | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 1.5 | 2.42 | Medium |
| 19 | High Blood Pressure Prevalence | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 1.5 | 2.42 | Medium |
| 20 | Lung and Bronchus Cancer Incidence Rate | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.33 | Medium |
| 21 | Median Monthly Medicaid Enrollment | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.33 | Medium |
| 22 | Melanoma Incidence Rate | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.33 | Medium |
| 23 | Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Colorectal Cancer | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 3 |  | 2 | 2.28 | Medium |
| 24 | Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Lung Cancer | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 3 |  | 2 | 2.28 | Medium |
| 25 | Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Suicide | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 3 |  | 2 | 2.28 | Medium |


|  | Indicators Score <br> Indicator | County: Suwannee <br> University of Florida Health Shands <br> Total indicators: 136 <br> Wednesday 8th of June 2016 01:47:40 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | County Distribution |  | Value |  | Target |  | Trend | Score | Precision |
|  |  | State | US | State | US | HP2020 | Local |  |  |  |
| 1 | Child Food Insecurity Rate | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.83 | High |
| 2 | Children Living Below Poverty Level | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.83 | High |
| 3 | Food Insecurity Rate | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.83 | High |
| 4 | People Living Below Poverty Level | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.83 | High |
| 5 | Families Living Below Poverty Level | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 2 | 2.61 | High |
| 6 | People Living 200\% Above Poverty Level | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 2 | 2.61 | High |
| 7 | Students Eligible for the Free Lunch Program | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.58 | Medium |
| 8 | Preterm Births | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 2 | 2.53 | High |
| 9 | Infant Mortality Rate | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 3 |  | 3 | 2.50 | Medium |
| 10 | COPD: Medicare Population | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 2 | 2.44 | High |
| 11 | Heart Failure: Medicare Population | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 2 | 2.44 | High |
| 12 | Adults who Smoke | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 1.5 | 2.42 | Medium |
| 13 | People 65+ Living Below Poverty Level | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 1 | 2.39 | High |
| 14 | Mothers who Received Early Prenatal Care | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 2 | 3 |  | 2 | 2.36 | High |
| 15 | Student-to-Teacher Ratio | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 2 | 2.36 | Medium |
| 16 | Median Household Income | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | 2.33 | High |
| 17 | Per Capita Income | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | 2.33 | High |
| 18 | Repeat Teen Births | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 3 | 2.33 | Medium |
| 19 | Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Coronary Heart Disease | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 3 | 3 |  | 1 | 2.31 | High |
| 20 | Age-Adjusted Death Rate due to Oral Cancer | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 3 |  | 2 | 2.28 | Medium |
| 21 | Salmonella Infection Incidence Rate | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 3 |  | 2 | 2.28 | Medium |
| 22 | Single-Parent Households | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 2 | 2.28 | High |
| 23 | Adults with Diabetes | 3 | 1.5 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | 2.25 | Medium |
| 24 | Babies with Low Birth Weight | 3 | 1.5 | 2 | 3 | 3 |  | 1.5 | 2.25 | High |
| 25 | Dentist Rate | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1.5 | 1.5 |  | 1.5 | 2.25 | Medium |

## Community Input

One of the significant components in a community health needs assessment is community input. Across the CHNA region extensive community input was provided and collected from a wide variety of sources. In Marion and Alachua counties the recent Health Needs Assessments included community member, provider and business leader surveys with thousands of responses collected. Internally within UF Health, the HealthStreet program - which is part of the Department of Epidemiology and has in excess of 7,500 clients in a multi-county region -provided their community assessment data. For the designated CHNA region, HealthStreet had 4,960 members enrolled. The HealthStreet program is an advocate model which focuses on improving participants' health (by referring and connecting clients to available resources that can help them improve their health) and thereby improve the overall health status of the community. The UF Health Putting Families First (PFF) program is a year-long interdisciplinary educational project featuring UF Health students from the colleges of medicine, nursing, dentistry, pharmacy, health and health professions and veterinary medicine, who are assigned in teams to a year-long focused project with individual families. The surveillance data gathered from the PFF program were used to provide insight about health needs obtained from their clients.

The United Way organizations in North Central Florida and Marion County also have data available through 211Counts that provided data about resident requests to their 211 assistance resources which include health and other programs. Focused interviews about health needs were also conducted with key knowledgeable health representatives at health departments and other providers in several counties.

The community input derived from the variety of sources is intended to help validate, assess and prioritize the data collected for the needs assessment. Common themes and areas of focus were developed as a result of these conversations with community members and community organizations and analyses of survey input.

Following are some examples of the community input that was used to help prioritize the needs identified though the health assessment process.

TABLE 8: MOST IMPORTANT HEALTH PROBLEMS* IN A COMMUNITY, TOTAL NUMBER OF EACH TYPE OF RESPONDENT AND PERCENT OF EACH TYPE OF RESPONDENT, 2016

$\left.$| Factor | Community Members ( $\mathbf{n = 1 , 1 1 5 )}$ | Providers ( $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{9 1 )}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |$\quad$| Business Leaders ( $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{4 9}$ ) |
| :---: | \right\rvert\,

*In response to the question "In the following list, what do you think are the five most important 'Health Problems' (those problems which have the greatest impact on overall community health) in Alachua County? Please select five (5) choices."

Source: 2016 Alachua County Community Health Assessment.
TABLE 186. BEHAVIORS WITH GREATEST IMPACT ON OVERALL HEALTH, 2015.

| Citizens (861) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Factor | Percent |
| 1. Eating unhealthy foods | 43.7 |
| 2. Drug abuse | 38.0 |
| 3. Not exercising | 32.6 |
| 4. Alcohol abuse | 30.3 |
| 5. Tobacco use | 28.1 |
| Business (72) |  |
| Factor | Percent |
| 1. Not exercising | 53.6 |
| 2. Drug abuse | 50.7 |
| 3. Eating unhealthy foods | 40.6 |
| 4. Alcohol abuse | 27.5 |
| 5. Overeating | 26.1 |
| Physicians (26) |  |
| Factor | Percent |
| 1. Tobacco use | 69.2 |
| 2. Overeating | 61.5 |
| 3. Not exercising | 38.5 |
| 4. Not using healthcare services appropriately | 34.6 |
| 5-6 Eating unhealthy foods | 11.5 |
| 5-6 Violence | 11.5 |

Source: 2015 Marion County Community Health Assessment

The United Way of North Central Florida tracks client requests via telephone call for assistance through 211 Counts. Healthcare and Mental Health requests are tracked as separate categories. The largest number of requests were for housing, food and utilities assistance. In North Central Florida for the period April 2015 to April 2016, Healthcare ranked as the fourth most requested category for assistance. Within the Healthcare and Mental Health categories the requests are tracked by sub-category. The data below are for a recent 12-month time period for the categories of Healthcare requests and Mental Health requests.

## Top Healthcare requests - April 2015 to April 2016

| Healthcare request | Number of requests |
| :--- | :---: |
| Health insurance | 87 |
| Medical expense assistance | 31 |
| Medical providers | 150 |
| Dental care | 207 |
| Eye care | 40 |
| Prescription medications | 115 |
| Medical equipment | 53 |
| Nursing homes \& adult care | 87 |
| Reproductive health | 9 |
| Death related | 12 |
| Other health services | 35 |
| Total Healthcare requests | $\mathbf{8 2 6}$ |
| Source: United Way of North Central Florida, <br> database accessed April 2016 | Counts |

## Top Mental Health \& Addictions Requests - April 2015 to April 2016

## Mental Health \& Addictions <br> Number of requests

Substance abuse \& addictions 185
Marriage \& family 2
Crisis intervention \& suicide 65
Mental health services 154
Mental health facilities 48
Total Mental Health \& Addictions requests 454
Source: United Way of North Central Florida, 211 Counts database accessed April 2016

## Key Insights/Significant Health Needs

While there are many areas for improvement noted across the variety of available data on residents of the sevencounty CHNA region, several factors and behaviors that stand out as recurring, common areas of concern are listed below (note: list is not prioritized). Additional specific data and details are presented in the technical appendix to this CHNA document for further review.

- Poverty
- Food insecurity
- Obesity
- Infant mortality
- Teen pregnancy
- Sexually transmitted infections (STI's)
- Physical inactivity
- High blood pressure
- Diabetes
- Asthma
- Cardiovascular disease
- Tobacco use
- Insurance status (continued high rates of uninsured)
- Alcohol use
- Hospitalization and ED visits due to alcohol-related causes
- ED visits due to dental reasons
- Accidental death (motor vehicle accidents, pedestrian accidents)
- Violent crime
- Substance abuse
- Suicide
- Mental health
- Low rates (shortage) of mental health providers
- Low rates (shortage) of dentists
- Low rates (shortage) of primary care physicians


## Community Health Implementation Plan (CHIP)

Upon consideration of the data on residents of the seven-county community, UF Health Shands Hospital decided to continue with the two original broad strategic goals as the focus of the community health improvement workplan:

- Residents will be able to access comprehensive primary care and preventive services
- Promote wellness among residents

UF Health Shands Hospital has identified the following implementation items as the most appropriate activities for it to undertake to further these goals:

- Maintain safety net provider capacity
- Educate the uninsured regarding new options for insurance coverage
- Maintain capacity as the clinical training site for future healthcare providers - including physicians, nurses and other allied health professionals
- Maintain programs of care coordination for reducing avoidable hospital use
- Participate in a medical respite program for homeless persons
- Increase access to cardiovascular risk management and education
- Increase cancer screening and detection
- Maintain and expand the UF Health Shands worksite wellness programs
- Establish policies and incentive programs to promote breastfeeding among mothers
- Reduce prevalence and impact of tobacco use
- Improve mental health through access to resources for stress management such as peaceful outdoor environment, poetry readings and art gatherings


[^0]:    Source: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System. Accessed via the Health Indicators Warehouse. US Department of Health Human Services, Health Indicators Warehouse. 2006-12. Source geography: County

